Testing Matters And so does your sleep.





Senior Developer ThoughtWorks®

Rahul C



Senior Developer ThoughtWorks®

Rahul C

I write about tech, politics and food. blog.bitsapien.dev



Why am I talking about testing?

Files > 500 LOC

Why am I talking about testing?

Files > 500 LOC

Tests that mocked so much, they were just testing mocks

Why am I talking about testing?

Files > 500 LOC

Why am I talking about testing?

Can't make no correlation between a business feature and a test

Tests that mocked so much, they were just testing mocks

What should you expect?

What should you expect?

Spend less time ripping your head when writing or reading tests

What should you expect?

Spend less time ripping your head when writing or reading tests

What should you expect?

Spend less time refactoring

What should you expect?

Spend less time refactoring

Spend less time ripping your head when writing or reading tests

Test with more confidence

Sleep Well At Night

Spend less time refactoring

Spend less time ripping your head when writing or reading tests

Test with more confidence

Software Engineers

Why do we do software development?



I COOLE.

But...

Business Impact

Always fast. Always confident.





There is always a cost.

Optimise on that cost.

Writing code costs.

Writing code costs.

There is cost to waiting for code to be written - agility.

Writing code costs.

There is cost to waiting for code to be written - agility.

Changing code costs.

Writing code costs.

There is cost to waiting for code to be written - agility.

Changing code costs.

Understanding code costs.

We read code more than we write.

Clean Code

Reading Code.

Reading Code.

Purpose? Boundaries? Responsibilities?

Documentation



Let's care about our tests more.

Test code is also code.
Let's look at these problems.



Large Test Suites

A Vue Frontend Application

Language	Files	Lines	Blanks	Comments	Code	Complexity
Vue	25	1987	78	0	1909	62
JavaScript	9	286	21	3	262	13
CSS	1	3	Ø	0	3	0
HTML	1	17	Ø	4	13	0
JSON	1	50	Ø	0	50	0
Sass	1	60	10	0	50	0
Total	38	2403	109	7	2287	75

Estimated Schedule Effort 4.850287 months Estimated People Required 1.179433

Processed 64032 bytes, 0.064 megabytes (SI)

Language	Files	Lines	Blanks	Comments	Code	Complexity
JavaScript JSON	28 2	2953 79	370 0	8 Ø	2575 79	36 Ø
Total	30	3032	370	8	2654	36
Estimated Cost to Estimated Schedule Estimated People R	Develop \$75,282 Effort 5.14703 equired 1.29942	2 31 months 23				
Processed 95415 by	tes, 0.095 mega	ubytes (SI	[)			

Business Logic

Tests

Large Test Suites

A Java Backend application

Language	Files	Lines	Blanks	Comments	Code	Complexity
Java	93	2705	384	10	2311	69
YAML	2	41	2	0	39	0
JSON	1	39	0	0	39	0
XML	1	14	0	0	14	0
Total	97	2799	386	10	2403	69
Estimated Cost to Estimated Schedule Estimated People R	Develop \$67,824 Effort 4.94699 equired 1.21804	4 90 months 40				
Processed 90092 by	tes. 0.090 mega	abvtes (S	Т)			

Language	Files	Lines	Blanks	Comments	Code	Complexity		
JSON Java	40 24	2117 3406	0 499	0 27	2117 2880	0 11		
Total	64	5523	499	27	4997	11		
Estimated Cost to Develop \$146,298 Estimated Schedule Effort 6.625296 months Estimated People Required 1.961782								
Processed 196209 bytes	, 0.196 meg	gabytes (S	SI)					



Refactoring is changing implementation details without breaking our tests / interfaces.





What if it breaks existing functionality !

Don't touch that piece of code atleast





The fear is real.

And it's not because you don't have tests.





Why?

The Ghost Tests



Copy Pasta



Poor test suites will lead to poorer test suites.

Duct Tape Programmer





I V writing tests

Ideas

The Test Pyramid : What's missing?



The Test Pyramid : What's missing?



The contract with the world is important.

"When we write a test, we imagine the perfect interface for our operation. We are **telling** ourselves a story about how the operation will look from the outside. Our story won't always come true, but it's better to start from the bestpossible application program interface (API) and work backward than to make things complicated, ugly, and "realistic" from the get-go."

Behaviour is what the business cares about.

If E2E tests gave you faster feedback?

Testing Library

Simple and complete testing utilities that encourage good

testing practices

Get Started

If E2E tests gave you faster feedback?





Testing Library

Simple and complete testing utilities that encourage good

testing practices

Get Started

If E2E tests gave you faster feedback?



Transformations and Side Effects

Transformations and Side Effects

External HTTP Calls Databases File System

. . .

Basically anything that runs beyond your isolated system

Any kind of I/O

. . . .

System Under Test

Ports



Adapters











Back to Unit Tests



Back to Unit Tests

Teams' product owner:

Can we make the user login using OTPs on phones?
Ø



Teams' product owner:

Can we make the user login using OTPs on phones?

Given a phone number of Alice who is a registered user When Alice wants to login using OTP on their phone Then give them an OTP

Given a phone number of Bob who is not a registered user When Bob wants to login using OTP on their phone Then do not send them an OTP

Given the shared OTP When Alice shares it with our system Then our systems must authenticate them in.





Approach

```
@Test
void shouldAllowSendingSMSIfRequestedPhoneNumberIsRegistered() {
  ArrayList<String> phonebook = new ArrayList<String>();
  phonebook.add("8899338354");
  String requestedOTPOn = "8899338354";
  OTPSendable otpSendable = prepareOTP(requestedOTPOn, phonebook);
  assertThat(otpSendable.canSend).isTrue();
  assertThat(otpSendable.otp).hasSize(8);
  assertThat(otpSendable.phoneNumber).isEqualTo(requested0TPOn);
```

```
@Post("/api/otp-request")
@Produces(APPLICATION_JSON)
public HttpResponse getOtp(@Body String requestedOTPOn) { Complexity is 4 Everything is cool!
 ArrayList<String> phonebook = PhoneRepository.fetch();
 OTPSendable otpSendable = prepareOTP(requestedOTPOn, phonebook);
 if(otpSendable.canSend) {
   TwilioClient.send(otpSendable.phoneNumber, otpSendable.otp);
   OTPRepository.store(otpSendable);
   return HttpResponse.created();
 } else {
   return HttpResponse.unauthorized();
```









@Test

void shouldAllowSendingSMSIfRequestedPhoneNumberIsRegistered() { ArrayList<String> phonebook = new ArrayList<String>(); phonebook.add("8899338354"); String requestedOTPOn = "8899338354";

OTPSendable otpSendable = prepareOTP(requestedOTPOn, phonebook);

assertThat(otpSendable.canSend).isTrue(); assertThat(otpSendable.otp).hasSize(8); assertThat(otpSendable.phoneNumber).isEqualTo(requestedOTPOn);











Mocking: Bad Idea

It is slow and stateful.

Mocking : Bad Idea

It is slow and stateful.

They end up making you test implementation thus create hell when refactoring.

Mocking: Bad Idea

It is slow and stateful.

- They end up making you test implementation thus create hell when refactoring.
- If you are having to use a lot of mocks, that is an alarm of growing complexity.
 - Mocking makes us look away from the problem

Mocking : Bad Idea

It is slow and stateful.

They end up making you test implementation thus create hell when refactoring.

If you are having to use a lot of mocks, that is an alarm of growing coupling. Mocking makes us look away from the problem

Mocks are more work, and more code.

Mocking : Bad Idea

It is slow and stateful.

They end up making you test implementation thus create hell when refactoring.

If you are having to use a lot of mocks, that is an alarm of growing coupling. Mocking makes us look away from the problem

Mocks are more work, and more code.

Use test doubles instead if you really need to mock.

How microservices should make you write better software and tests.





The social impact of tests



"we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests

"we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests

"test behaviour and never the implementation"

- "kill as much code as you can"
- "we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests
 - "test behaviour and never the implementation"
- "tests will help you remove coupling, don't look away by mocking away everything"

- "kill as much code as you can"
- "we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests
 - "test behaviour and never the implementation"
- "tests will help you remove coupling, don't look away by mocking away everything"
 - "don't use mocks"

"we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests

"test behaviour and never the implementation"

"tests will help you remove coupling, don't look away by mocking away everything"

"don't use mocks"

"you really don't need such a large test suite"

- "we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests
 - "test behaviour and never the implementation"
- "tests will help you remove coupling, don't look away by mocking away everything"
 - "don't use mocks"
 - "you really don't need such a large test suite"
- "test your public interface, that gives you more confidence, this is what the business care about"

- "we read code more than we write, so optimise for reading" true for tests
 - "test behaviour and never the implementation"
- "tests will help you remove coupling, don't look away by mocking away everything"
 - "don't use mocks"
 - "you really don't need such a large test suite"
- "test your public interface, that gives you more confidence, this is what the business care about"
 - "choose tools that give you faster feedback"

Rahul C



github.com/bitsapien

twitter.com/bitsapien_logs



blog.bitsapien.dev/now

References

Dan North : Software that fits in your head - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Y0tOi7QWqM</u> Ian Cooper: TDD, Where did it all go wrong - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EZ05e7EMOLM</u> DHH: TDD is Dead, Long Live Testing - <u>https://dhh.dk/2014/tdd-is-dead-long-live-testing.html</u> TW Hangouts: Is TDD Dead? - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z9quxZsLcfo</u> Hexagonal Architecture - <u>https://fideloper.com/hexagonal-architecture</u>